

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,819	09/17/2003	Stephen P. Kasten	6499-A-2 7231		
75	90 01/24/2005	EXAMINER			
C. Robert von	Hellens	LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M			
CAHILL, VON	HELLENS & GLAZER	P.L.C.			
Suite 155			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2141 E. Highland Avenue			1724		
Phoenix, AZ 8	35016				

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<i>\bullet</i>	レ		
		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
			819	KASTEN, STEPH	EN P.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er e	Art Unit				
			. Lawrence	1724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on th	ne cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exte after - if the - if NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provided provided for reply specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. of days, a reply within the structury period will apply and four vill, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr oplication to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timel rom the mailing date of this c DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on						
		b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from c						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation of None of	locuments have be locuments have be f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applic nents have been rece ule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	, ,		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	0.048)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	ary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inforr	re of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/665,819

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 9, each of the references to "55" should be changed to "56" to be consistent with the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schaefer (3,685,656).
- 4. Schaefer '656 teaches a system for adding ozone to water flowing from a reservoir, comprising filtering water drawn from the reservoir in a filter (48), injecting ozone into the filtered water using venturies (16,18), providing pumps (42,68) for pumping the water, providing an ozone generator (22) for generating the ozone which is transferred to the venturies through conduits connected to each venturi inlet, and drawing raw material air to the ozone generator through a suction line (36) that includes an air dryer (filter, 26) followed by a regulator valve (30) and a check valve (34) that prevents contaminated water from backing up into the suction line if the system malfunctions (see figures, col. 1, line 57 to col. 2, line 50).

Application/Control Number: 10/665,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaefer '656 in view of applicant's admitted prior art.
- 7. Schaefer '656 discloses all of the limitations of the claim except that the ozone supply conduit includes a loop disposed above the level of water in the reservoir. Applicant's admitted prior art discloses that a loop section can be provided above a tank water level in an ozone supply conduit (instant figure 1, page 6, lines 16-21). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ozone supply conduits of Schaefer '656 by including a loop above the reservoir water level in order to prevent damage to the ozone generator or loss of water from the reservoir.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references listed on the attached PTO-892 form disclose ozone water treatment systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,819

Art Unit: 1724

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

fl

Trank Laurence